UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,335	03/01/2004	Jayasimha Nuggehalli	49986-0535	2410
	7590 04/12/201 LERMO TRUONG &	EXAMINER		
2055 GATEWA		RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA	95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,335	NUGGEHALLI ET AL.		
Examiner	Aut Heit		
=Xuiiiiioi	Art Unit		

	LENNIN RODRIGUEZ	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR .	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIANA. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	ducing of simplifying th	10 133403 101					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/LENNIN RODRIGUEZ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	7						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: after careful consideration of the Remarks filed 3/21/2011 by the examiner regarding "the multifunction peripheral being configured to perform "generating a device-related report based at least in part on (a) said first device-related information including meter-reading information received from the network device over the network, combined with (b) the multifunction peripheral information obtained from the multifunction peripheral" should be considered in its entirety and that this limitation is not taught or suggested by Homma, Parry, Hilbert and Nagasaka, considered alone or in any combination"; the examiner would like to point out that KSR rationales provide for Combining Prior Art Elements According to Known Methods to Yield Predictable Results, using this rationale: Homma '700 teaches generating a device-related report based at least in part on the multifunction peripheral information (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)). Hilbert '786 teaches gathering information on said first device-related information including meter-reading information received from the network device over the network, combined with the multifunction peripheral information obtained from the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0007], where information from multiple devices is gather by a monitoring service (interpreted as a report), the information including information about other devices connected to a network as well as its own information); Homma '700 does not teach having in the report a first device related information combined with a multifunctional device information. Hence the prior art includes each element claimed although not necessarily in a single prior art reference, with the only difference between the claimed invention and the prior art being the lack of actual combination of elements in a single prior art reference. In the combination, Homma '700 performs the same function as it does separately of generating a report. Hilbert '786 performs the same function as it does separately of gathering information of a first device related information combined with a multifunctional device information. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods, and that combination, each element merely performs the same functionas it does separately. The results of the combination would have been predictable and resulted in modifying the invention of Homma '700 to include into a report information (adding information to an already formatted report) about other devices in the network as well as information on itself, as disclosed by Hilbert '786 thereby allowing users to obtain more information about the devices installed throughout the network they are connected to, thus presenting more options for a user to chose from.